

Prescribing Mean Curvature: Existence and Uniqueness Problems

G. Kamberov

Department of Mathematics
Washington University, St. Louis, MO
e-mail: kamberov@math.wustl.edu

Introduction

The results in this paper are part of a program to understand to what extent one can use mean curvature data to determine the shape of a surface in \mathbf{R}^3 .

The first section of the paper presents results on a problem suggested by Bonnet. A generic immersion is uniquely determined up to a rigid motion by its first fundamental form and its mean curvature function, but there are some exceptions, for example most constant mean curvature immersions. Bonnet's problem is to classify and study all exceptional immersions. Here I concentrate on the study of Bonnet's problem for immersions with umbilic points and immersions of closed surfaces.

The second section contains an outline of an existence theory for conformal immersions ([GANG, Pe, Pi1, Ka1]) along with its immediate applications to Bonnet's problem. The central idea in the theory is to define square roots of basic geometric objects, for example, area elements, differentials of maps, and then determine the equations satisfied by the square root of the differential of a conformal immersion. From an analytic point of view the main advantage of the approach is that the existence problem for prescribing mean curvature data is reduced to a first order elliptic system. The theory suggests a new paradigm: the data used to determine the immersion are, the conformal structure, the regular homotopy class of the immersion, and the mean curvature half-density, that is, the mean curvature appropriately weighted by the metric. These data determine the immersion via a generalized Weierstrass-Kenmotsu formula.

Robert Bryant introduced me to Bonnet's problem. I thank him and Ulrich Pinkall, Franz Pedit, Peter Norman, and Dennis DeTurck for many discussions, advice, and support.

1 Bonnet's Uniqueness Problem

Two isometric immersions F_1 and F_2 , of a given Riemannian surface (M, g) into \mathbf{R}^3 , are called congruent (denoted by $F_1 \sim F_2$) if they differ by a rigid motion. I am interested in the following questions: What conditions guarantee that $H_1 = H_2$ implies $F_1 \sim F_2$? What can be said if $H_1 = H_2$ but F_1 and F_2 are not congruent? The isometric immersions F_1 and F_2 are called Bonnet mates if they are not congruent but their mean curvature functions, H_1 and H_2 are equal.

Bonnet, Cartan, and Chern studied the existence and classification of Bonnet mates. Their works yield a complete local classification of the umbilic-free immersions which admit Bonnet mates ([Bon], [Car], [Che]). Some global results were obtained by Lawson and Tribuzy, and by Ros (see [LT] and [Ros]).

The methods used in [Bon], [Car], and [Che] are very powerful and beautiful but can not be used to study non-constant mean curvature surfaces with umbilic points. Until recently it was not known whether such Bonnet mates exist. In particular, it was not known whether there are any Bonnet mates which are not included in the Bonnet-Cartan-Chern classification. A general construction which yields all immersions, with or without umbilic points, that admit Bonnet mates was found in [KPP]. This construction made it possible to prove that there exist infinitely many new Bonnet immersions, that is, Bonnet mates which

have umbilic points and whose mean curvature is not constant in a neighborhood of the umbilic points ([Ka1] and [Ka2]).

This section contains results on the properties of the umbilic points of Bonnet mates and on the following rigidity conjecture: *Let (M, g) be a closed oriented Riemannian surface and let $H : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be an arbitrary non-constant function. Then up to rigid motions, there exists at most one isometric immersion $F : (M, g) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ with mean curvature function H .*

Throughout this note (M, g) is an oriented, connected Riemannian surface; F_1, F_2 are isometric immersions of (M, g) in \mathbf{R}^3 ; \mathbb{I}_i, N_i , and H_i denote the second fundamental form, the field of unit normals, and the mean curvature function of the immersion F_i . The mean curvatures of two isometric immersions F_1 and F_2 coincide if and only if at every point F_1 and F_2 have the same principal curvatures, and so if $H_1 = H_2$ then p is an umbilic for one of the immersions precisely if it is umbilic for the other too.

It is convenient to introduce the shape distortion tensor, $D = \mathbb{I}_1 - \mathbb{I}_2$, associated with F_1 and F_2 . Thus Bonnet's fundamental theorem of surface theory implies that $F_1 \sim F_2$ if and only if $D \equiv 0$. The Mainardi-Codazzi equations imply the following observation:

Observation 1.1 *If the mean curvature functions of F_1 and F_2 coincide then the associated shape distortion operator is trace-free and divergence free, i.e, its $(2, 0)$ part, $D^{2,0}$, is holomorphic.*

Observation 1.1 implies the following unique continuation property:

Corollary 1.1 *Let $F_1 : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ and $F_2 : M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ be isometric embeddings which have the same principal curvatures. If $F_1 \sim F_2$ on a nonempty open set then $F_1 \sim F_2$ on M .*

Analyzing the shape distortion tensor yields the following theorem describing the properties of the umbilic points of a Bonnet immersion.

Theorem 1 *Suppose that F_1 and F_2 are Bonnet mates then:*

A. $D_p = 0$ if and only if p is umbilic; moreover, for every umbilic point p we have

$$\text{ind}_{F_1}(p) = \text{ind}_{D^{2,0}}(p) = \text{ind}_{F_2}(p) < 0,$$

where $\text{ind}_{F_1}(p)$ and $\text{ind}_{F_2}(p)$ denote the index of p with respect to F_1 and F_2 respectively and $\text{ind}_{D^{2,0}}(p)$ is the index of p with respect to the horizontal foliation of the quadratic differential $D^{2,0}$. (See [Hopf] for the definitions of the different notions of index.)

B. Every umbilic point is a critical point of the mean and the Gauss curvatures. Furthermore, the trace-free part of \mathbb{I}_1 vanishes to a finite order at every umbilic point.

According to Theorem 1, if an isometric embedding, F_1 , admits a Bonnet mate then the net formed by the curvature lines of F_1 has the same local character, that is, the same type of singularities as the net formed by the horizontal and vertical foliations of a holomorphic quadratic differential. Next we consider what can be said about an embedding whose foliations of curvature lines are precisely the horizontal (vertical) foliations of a holomorphic quadratic differential.

Theorem 2 *Let M be a closed oriented Riemannian surface and let $F_1 : (M, g) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ be an isometric embedding, whose net of curvature lines is the net formed by the principal stretch foliations of a holomorphic quadratic differential. Then an isometric embedding $F_2 : (M, g) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ is congruent to F_1 if and only if $F_1 \circ F_2^{-1}$ is orientation preserving and $H_1 = H_2$.*

The proof of Theorem 2 also yields a theorem about immersions:

Theorem 3 *Let M be a closed oriented Riemannian surface and let $F_1 : (M, g) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ be an isometric immersion, whose net of curvature lines is the net formed by the principal stretch foliations of a holomorphic quadratic differential. If F_1 admits a Bonnet mate then its mean curvature is constant.*

In particular the shape of most globally isothermic embeddings of a closed surface are determined by the mean curvature. For example, if M has genus one and F_1 is globally isothermic, or if $F_1(M)$ is a surface of revolution, then every other isometric embedding, F_2 , s.t., $H_1 = H_2$ must be congruent to F_1 .

The unique continuation property implies the following theorem:

Theorem 4 *Let $F_1, F_2 : (M, g) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ be two isometric embeddings then $F_1 \sim F_2$ if and only if the following set of conditions are satisfied: (i) $F_1 \circ F_2^{-1}$ is orientation preserving; (ii) $H_1 = H_2$; (iii) there exists a conformal map $\varphi : S^2 \rightarrow S^2$ such that $N_1 = \varphi \circ N_2$.*

The unique continuation also yields a generalization of the following classical result: *If $H_1 = H_2$ and $N_1 = N_2$ then $F_1 = \text{translation} \circ F_2$, see [Gar].*

Theorem 5 *Let $F_1, F_2 : (M, g) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^3$ be two isometric embeddings then $F_1 \sim F_2$ if and only if the following set of conditions is satisfied: (i) $F_1 \circ F_2^{-1}$ is orientation preserving; (ii) $H_1 = H_2$; (iii) $(N_1 - N_2)(M)$ lies in a half space.*

2 Dirac Spinors and Conformal Immersions

To obtain deeper rigidity results and to try to find and classify the possible counterexamples to the rigidity conjecture one needs a new method for constructing surfaces. Such a theory is outlined here and then used to investigate the following modified rigidity conjecture:

Let (M, g) be a closed and oriented Riemannian surface. Given a function H on (M, g) , and a regular homotopy class, \mathcal{F} , of immersions of M into \mathbf{R}^3 , then up to rigid motions there exists at most one isometric immersion $F \in \mathcal{F}$ with mean curvature function H .

Note that if the modified conjecture is false then the rigidity conjecture from the previous section is also false.

Instead of a new method for constructing surfaces one could try to use the Weierstrass-Kenmotsu representation [Ken]. To apply this representation one must solve Kenmotsu's system of differential equations satisfied by the differential dF of a conformal immersion F with prescribed mean curvature function H . The main difficulty is that the system is non-homogeneous, second order, and nonlinear if H is non-constant, and, in addition, the system is degenerate at points at which $H = 0$.

The Weierstrass representation of minimal surfaces was reformulated in terms of spinors in [Sul]. These ideas were used in [KS], where the authors also indicated that there should be a theory for general, not necessarily minimal, surfaces. Indeed, such theories were developed in [Bob], and later in [Ko] (see also [KT]), and [Ri]. During the academic year 1995-1996 the GANG seminar at the University of Massachusetts set out to investigate the role of spinors in the geometry of immersed surfaces and to develop a general theory of the spinor representation of surfaces. The goal was to design an efficient and useful calculus, and to give a transparent explanation of the objects involved in the representation [Pi1, Ka1, GANG].

From now on M always denotes a Riemann surface, that is, an oriented surface with a chosen conformal structure. In particular, M comes equipped with a maximal holomorphic atlas $\{(U_\alpha, z_\alpha)\}$. The conformal structure allows us to make sense of non-negative two-forms, and their square roots, the non-negative and the non-positive half-densities. Indeed, the square roots of a nonnegative two-form $w dx_\alpha \wedge dy_\alpha$ defined by $\pm \sqrt{w dx_\alpha \wedge dy_\alpha} = \pm \sqrt{w} |dz_\alpha|$ are sections in the fiber bundle $\mathcal{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of half-densities. Thus the square root of a non-negative two-form, in particular an area element is a half-density and vice versa the square of a half density is a two-form. Half-densities are necessary to introduce a conformally invariant notion of surface tension:

Definition 1 *Let F be a conformal immersion of M into \mathbf{R}^3 inducing the area element dA by pulling back the Euclidean metric by F , and let H be the mean curvature function of the immersion F . The half density $H\sqrt{dA}$ is called the mean curvature half density of F .*

A conformal immersion, F , of a Riemann surface into \mathbf{R}^3 defines a spin structure on the Riemann surface. The spin structure characterizes uniquely the regular homotopy class of the immersion. See [Pi] and [Sul]. The square root, \sqrt{dF} , of the differential of F is a section in the associated spinor bundle, Σ .

For the rest of this paper we identify \mathbf{R}^3 with the imaginary quaternions $\text{im}(\mathbf{H})$. A spinor bundle on a Riemann surface is a quaternionic line bundle Σ with transition functions $k_{\beta\alpha} : U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that $k_{\beta\alpha}^2 = \partial z_\alpha / \partial z_\beta$. A choice of a spinor bundle is equivalent to choosing a square root bundle of the bundle of conformal \mathbf{R}^3 -valued one forms on M . Indeed, the following theorem is known:

Theorem 6 *A spinor bundle on a Riemann surface M is a quaternionic line bundle Σ on M with a chosen endomorphism $J \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{H}}(\Sigma)$ and a nontrivial quaternionic-hermitian, fiber-preserving pairing*

$$(\cdot, \cdot) : \Sigma \times \Sigma \rightarrow T^*M \otimes \mathbf{H},$$

so that $J^2 = -1$, and for every two spinors $\psi, \phi \in \Sigma$ based at the same point p we have

$$(\phi, \psi)(JX) = (J\phi, \psi)(X) = (\phi, J\psi)(X) \quad (1)$$

for every $X \in T_p M$. Here JX denotes the action of the complex structure on the vector X .

Note that for every spinor $\psi \in \Sigma$ the form $(\psi, \psi) = \omega$ is imaginary quaternionic valued. Furthermore, (ψ, ψ) is a conformal $\mathbf{R}^3 = \text{im}(\mathbf{H})$ valued one-form on M . The spinor ψ is interpreted as the square root of ω . Every spinor ψ defines a non-negative half-density $|\psi|^2 := \|(\psi, \psi)\|$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm in \mathbf{R}^3 . The half-density $|\psi|^2$ measures the relative dilation associated with the conformal form (ψ, ψ) . A choice of a spinor bundle is equivalent to a choice of a spin structure, that is a holomorphic square root of the canonical bundle $T^{(1,0)}M^*$ of M . Indeed, given a spinor bundle Σ , define the complex line bundle of *positive spinors* by $\Sigma_+ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\sigma \in \Sigma \mid J\sigma = \sigma i\}$. For every positive spinor $\sigma \in \Sigma_+$ we define the one-form $\sigma^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -k(\sigma, \sigma)$. From (1) it follows that $\sigma^2(JX) = i\sigma^2(X) = \sigma^2(X)i$ for every vector X . Thus for every positive spinor σ , the one-form σ^2 is complex valued and belongs to the canonical bundle $T^{(1,0)}M^*$ of M . The map sending $\sigma \in \Sigma_+$ to σ^2 is a quadratic map from Σ_+ onto the canonical bundle of M . Thus every $\psi \in \Sigma_+$ defines a conformal one form $\psi^2 \in T^{(1,0)}M^*$ and a non-negative half density $|\psi|^2$.

There is a canonical bi-additive fiber-wise pairing $\Sigma \times (T^*M \otimes_R \mathbf{H}) \rightarrow D^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes_R \Sigma$ which defines conformal Clifford product between spinors and \mathbf{H} -valued one-forms. The *conformal Dirac operator* is the unique local linear operator $D : \Gamma(\Sigma) \rightarrow \Gamma(D^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \Sigma)$ satisfying the Leibniz rule and such that $D\sigma = 0$ if σ is a local section of Σ_+ whose square is a closed one-form, i.e., if $d\sigma^2 = 0$. (Compare with [Hit] and [At].)

Definition 2 *A section, $\psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma)$, is called a Dirac spinor if $D\psi = U \otimes \psi$ for some $U \in \Gamma(D^{\frac{1}{2}})$; we say that ψ generates the half density U .*

Dirac spinors represent the square roots of the differentials of conformal immersions:

Theorem 7 *For every non-vanishing $\psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma)$ the one-form (ψ, ψ) is closed if and only if ψ is a Dirac spinor. If M is simply connected and ψ is a non-vanishing Dirac spinor, then*

$$F = \int (\psi, \psi) \quad (2)$$

is a conformal immersion with mean curvature half density equal to the half-density generated by ψ . Vice versa, given an oriented surface M , then every immersion F of M into \mathbf{R}^3 defines a unique up to isomorphism spinor bundle Σ on M , the surface M is equipped with the pull-back conformal structure, and precisely two Dirac spinors, ψ , and $-\psi$ such that $(\pm\psi, \pm\psi) = dF$. The half-density generated by $\pm\psi$ equals the mean curvature half-density of F .

Note that in fact $\Sigma = M \times \mathbf{H}$ and after identifying \mathbf{R}^3 with $\text{im}(\mathbf{H})$ we have $(\psi, \phi) = \bar{\psi}dF\phi$, where ψ and ϕ are spinors based at the same point on M . I will denote by $[\Sigma]$ the regular homotopy class of an immersion inducing the spinor bundle Σ .

The standard elliptic theory and the representation (2) imply the following local existence result: *Every half-density can be realized locally as the mean-curvature half-density of a conformal immersion.* Global existence and the Dirichlet problem are discussed in [Ka3] and [Ka4].

The outlined theory provides new insight into the Bonnet's problem. In particular, in the structure of the space of pairs of Bonnet mates on a closed surface M . Given a metric g on M and a function $H \neq \text{const}$ then up to rigid motions there exists at most one Bonnet pair f_{\pm} of isometric immersions of (M, g) into \mathbf{R}^3 with mean curvatures $H_{\pm} = H$ ([LT]). I am interested in the space of **geometrically distinct** pairs of Bonnet mates on a given Riemann surface M and within the same regular homotopy class. The space of geometrically distinct pairs of Bonnet mates is the space of Bonnet pairs modulo the natural gauge group $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{E}(3) \times \mathcal{E}(3) \times \mathbf{R}^+$ acting on it. Here $\mathcal{E}(3)$ is the Euclidean group of rigid motions. Indeed, if we rotate one of the Bonnet mates in the pair F_{\pm} we obtain another pair of Bonnet mates which is geometrically identical with the original pair F_{\pm} . Furthermore, for every positive number $r > 0$ the Bonnet pair rF_{\pm} is simply the original pair F_{\pm} observed at a different scale, thus the pairs F_{\pm} and rF_{\pm} are not geometrically distinct. The action of the group \mathcal{G} preserves the conformal class, the mean curvature half density and the regular homotopy class. Let $\mathcal{B}(M, \Sigma, U)$ be the moduli space of geometrically distinct pairs of Bonnet mates defined on the Riemann surface M which belong to the regular homotopy class associated with the spinor bundle Σ , and inducing a given potential U .

Theorem 8 [Ka3] *For every half-density U , regular homotopy class $[\Sigma]$ on the closed Riemann surface M the moduli space $\mathcal{B}(M, \Sigma, U)$ of geometrically distinct Bonnet mates is either empty or it is a disjoint union of isolated components. Each nonempty component is either a point, a line, or a four dimensional ball.*

Note that $\dim_{\mathbf{H}} \ker(D - U)$ is finite if M is closed. If $\dim_{\mathbf{H}} \ker(D - U) = 1$, then $\mathcal{B}(M, \Sigma, U)$ is empty. Furthermore:

Theorem 9 [Ka3] *Suppose that U is a half density on the Riemann surface M , if the spinor bundle Σ is such that $\dim_{\mathbf{H}} \ker(D - U) = 2$, then precisely one of the following alternatives holds:*

- (a.) $\mathcal{B}(M, \Sigma, U) = \emptyset$.
- (b.) $\mathcal{B}(M, \Sigma, U)$ is a point.
- (c.) $\mathcal{B}(M, \Sigma, U)$ is homeomorphic to a line segment.
- (d.) $\mathcal{B}(M, \Sigma, U)$ is homeomorphic to a four dimensional ball.

Moreover, every conformal immersion in the regular homotopy class $[\Sigma]$ and inducing the mean curvature half density U admits at most one, up to rigid motions, Bonnet mate.

Theorem 9 provides an extension of the results from [LT] including constant mean curvature immersions.

Corollary 2.1 *Let (M, g) be an oriented, closed Riemannian surface, and let H be a function defined on M , possibly constant. Every regular homotopy class $[\Sigma]$ such that $\dim_{\mathbf{H}} \ker(D - U) = 2$ contains at most two geometrically distinct isometric immersions of (M, g) into \mathbf{R}^3 with mean curvature function H .*

Remark One can draw a parallel between Bonnet's rigidity conjecture and Pauli's exclusion principle by thinking of the Dirac spinor ψ of an immersion f as a wave function. Indeed, the Dirac spinor satisfies the equation $D\psi = U\psi$ and defines the (probability) half-density $|\psi|^2 = \sqrt{dA}$, where dA is the area element induced on the surface by the immersion f . Two non-congruent immersions f_{\pm} are Bonnet mates if and only if they are in the same conformal class, induce the same area element and the same mean curvature half density $U_+ = U_-$. Thus if we consider only Bonnet mates within the same regular homotopy class,

then two non-congruent immersions f_{\pm} are Bonnet mates if and only if their respective Dirac spinors ψ_{\pm} generate the same potential $U_+ = U_-$ and the same half-density $|\psi_+|^2 = |\psi_-|^2$. Furthermore, it is natural to think that the Dirac spinors ψ and $\psi\alpha$, where α is a unit quaternion, represent the wave functions of the same particle, that is the same quantum state, with respect to two different Euclidean reference frames in the ambient space \mathbf{R}^3 . Hence the modified rigidity conjecture is equivalent to saying that the quantum state is uniquely determined by the potential (energy) and the position density.

References

- [At] M. Atiyah, *Riemann Surfaces and Spin Structures*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. **4**(1971), 47–62.
- [Bob] A. I. Bobenko, *Surfaces in terms of 2 by 2 matrices*, Harmonic Maps and Integrable Systems (A. Froddy and J. Wood eds.), Aspects of Mathematics, Vieweg, (1993).
- [Bon] O. Bonnet, *Mémoire sur la théorie des surfaces applicables*, J. Éc. Polyt. **42**(1867).
- [Car] É Cartan, *Sur les couples de surfaces applicables avec conservation des courbures principales*, Bull. Sc. Math. **66**(1942).
- [Che] S. S. Chern, *Deformations of Surfaces Preserving Principal Curvatures*, Differential Geometry and Complex Analysis: a Volume Dedicated to the Memory of H. E. Rauch (I. Chavel and H. M. Farkas eds.), Springer-Verlag, (1985), 155–163.
- [GANG] *Notes on spinors and the generalized Weierstrass representation*, notes based on the lectures given by G. Kamberov, P. Norman, U. Pinkall, F. Pedit, J. Richter, N. Schmidt, and I. Taimanov, in preparation (see also [Pi96], [Pe96], and [Ka97]).
- [Gar] R. Gardner, *An Integral Formula for Immersions in Euclidean Space*, J. Diff. Geom. **3**(1969).
- [Hit] N. Hitchin, *Harmonic Spinors*, Adv. in Math. **14**(1974), 1–55.
- [Hopf] H. Hopf, *Differential Geometry in the Large*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1000**, (1983), Springer.
- [Ka] G. Kamberov, *Recovering the Shape of a Surface from the Mean Curvature*, to appear in *Proc. of the Intl. Conf. of Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems*, 1996, publ. by Marcel Dekker, (1998).
- [Ka1] G. Kamberov, *Lectures on Quaternions, Spinors, and Surfaces*, Notes from the lectures at the University of São Paulo, Brazil, May-June, 1997.
- [Ka2] G. Kamberov, *Quadratic differentials, quaternionic forms, and surfaces*, preprint.
- [Ka3] G. Kamberov, *Immersion of closed surfaces with prescribed mean curvature half density*, in preparation.
- [Ka4] G. Kamberov, *The Dirichlet Problem for Dirac Spinors*, preprint.
- [Ken] K. Kenmotsu, *Weierstrass Formula for Surfaces of Prescribed Mean Curvature*, Math. Ann. **245**(1979), 89–99.
- [KPP] G. Kamberov, F. Pedit, U. Pinkall, *Bonnet Pairs and Isothermic Surfaces*, GANG Preprint IV.21, 1996, to appear in Duke Jour. of Math. **92**(1998).
- [Ko] B. G. Konopelchenko, *Induced Surfaces and their Integrable Dynamics*, Stud. Appl. Math. **96**(1996).

- [KT] B. G. Konopelchenko and I. A. Taimanov, *Generalized Weierstrass Formulae, Soliton Equations and the Willmore Surfaces I. Tori of Revolution and the mKDV*, preprint **Nr 187**, Ruhr-Universitat-Bochum. Fakultat fur Mathematic, (1995).
- [KS] R. Kusner and N. Schmidt, *The Spinor Representation of Minimal Surfaces*, GANG Preprint III.27, 1993. Revised version GANG Preprint 4.18.
- [LT] B. Lawson and R. Tribuzy, *On the Mean Curvature Function for Compact Surfaces*, J. Diff. Geom. **16**(1981).
- [Pe] F. Pedit, *Lectures at the Summer School in Differential Geometry*, Durham, UK, Summer 1996.
- [Pi] U. Pinkall, *Regular Homotopy Classes of Immersed Surfaces*, Topology. **24**(1985), 421–435.
- [Pi1] U. Pinkall, *Lectures at TU Berlin*, Spring 1996.
- [Ri] J. Richter, *Surfaces in terms of spinors*, Master Thesis. TU Berlin, 1995.
- [Ros] A. Ros, *Compact Hypersurfaces with Constant Scalar Curvature and a Congruence Theorem*, J. Diff. Geom. **27**(1988).
- [Sul] D. Sullivan, *The Spinor Representation for Minimal Surfaces in Space*, Notes, unpublished, 1989.